1	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP		
2	James K. Lynch, Bar No. 178600 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000		
3	San Francisco, CA 94111-6538 (415) 391-0600 / (415) 395-8095 Fax		
4	jim.lynch@lw.com		
5	Jennifer L. Barry, Bar No. 228066 12670 High Bluff Drive San Diego, CA 92130		
6	(858) 523-5400 / (858) 523-5450 Fax jennifer.barry@lw.com		
7	John M. Pierce, Bar No. 250443		
8	355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560		
9	(213) 485-1234 / (213) 891-8763 Fax john.pierce@lw.com		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
11	LYFT, INC.		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
14	COONTROL	SAN PRANCISCO	
15	LYFT, INC.,	CASE NO.	
16	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINTEOD.	
17	v.	COMPLAINT FOR:	
18	TRAVIS VANDERZANDEN and DOES 1-	(1) BREACH OF WRITTEN CONTRACT CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT; and	
19	10,	(2) BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY	
20	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

LATHAM&WATKINSup ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT AND BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY

Plaintiff Lyft, Inc., for its Complaint against Defendant Travis VanderZanden and Does 1-10, alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Headquartered in San Francisco, California, Lyft, Inc. ("Lyft" or "the Company") is an online and mobile application-based technology company offering an innovative peer-to-peer ridesharing platform in more than 60 cities in the United States. Since its inception in 2007, the Company has grown quickly to become a leader in the mobile application-based peer-to-peer ridesharing industry, facilitating millions of rides per month. Lyft has earned this rapid growth and success in large part because of its significant investment in developing confidential and proprietary technology and generating confidential and proprietary data that drives the Company's expanding service offerings. This confidential and proprietary information—including strategic marketing plans, strategic product plans, business strategies, financial information, forecasts, personnel information, growth data, and customer lists and data—is valuable because it is non-public, and unavailable to Lyft's competitors. This secrecy is maintained by Lyft's business policies and practices, and enforced by written contracts including confidentiality restrictions binding on Lyft's current and former employees and non-employee service providers.
- 2. In March 2013, as part of its continued expansion, Lyft purchased Pinstripes Technologies, Inc., dba Cherry ("Cherry"), a previously shutdown mobile car-washing company started by VanderZanden, in an "acqui-hire" placing VanderZanden as Lyft's new Chief Operating Officer. "Acqui-hire" describes an acquisition transaction, where a company is purchased primarily for its team.
- 3. When he joined the Company, VanderZanden signed both a written Employment Agreement dated March 6, 2013 (the "Employment Agreement") and an Employee Invention Assignment and Confidentiality Agreement dated March 6, 2013 (the "Confidentiality Agreement") committing him to protect and maintain as confidential—during and after his employment—Lyft's confidential information. As COO, VanderZanden had access to Lyft's most confidential and sensitive corporate information—including financial data and forecasts,

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

17

16

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

strategic plans, and planned product offerings—covered by the Confidentiality Agreement, and protected by Lyft's policies and practices.

- 4. On August 12, 2014, VanderZanden informed Lyft's co-founders, Logan Green and John Zimmer, that he was planning to resign. Over the next two days VanderZanden, Green, and Zimmer discussed VanderZanden's future with the company. They all agreed to meet on August 15, 2014 to try and reach an agreement.
- 5. On August 15, 2014, VanderZanden did not show up to work. In an early morning email, VanderZanden cancelled his meeting with Green and Zimmer, suggesting that they speak Monday and to take the weekend to think over their negotiations. In the meantime, VanderZanden conducted back-ups of his Lyft emails and contacts to his mobile phone and his personal home computer. VanderZanden also separately informed a Lyft board member that he was talking to Uber Technologies, Inc. ("Uber"). August 15, 2014 was VanderZanden's last day of employment at Lyft.
- On August 18, 2014, Lyft reached out to VanderZanden multiple times attempting to retrieve his Lyft laptop computer. VanderZanden never responded. The next day, Lyft sent an employee to VanderZanden's house to retrieve the laptop computer. VanderZanden handed over the computer to the Lyft employee. On August 23, 2014, however, VanderZanden emailed Lyft slamming the Company for "stalking" its laptop computer and accusing Lyft of trying to pick a fight with him.
- 7. On October 6, 2014, Uber—one of Lyft's chief competitors—publicly announced that it hired VanderZanden in a senior executive position, Vice President of International Growth.
- 8. Computer forensic evidence establishes that in the months and days before he announced his resignation and departed Lyft, VanderZanden synchronized his personal Dropbox account to his Lyft laptop, revealing that his personal Dropbox account contained more than 98,000 artifacts (files and folders), including a significant number of Lyft's most sensitive documents. At all times during his employment, VanderZanden had access to a Lyft Dropbox account, which was available for all of his work-related needs, so there would be no legitimate

- 9. The forensic evidence collected from VanderZanden's Lyft-issued computer following his resignation also makes clear that he took a number of these steps to transfer Lyft information to his personal files in anticipation of his resignation. In any case, regardless of his intent, VanderZanden's possession of Lyft confidential information post-employment breached his Confidentiality Agreement. That agreement bars him from possessing, post-employment, any Lyft confidential and proprietary information, and prohibits him from using or disclosing such information to anyone.
- 10. VanderZanden's Confidentiality Agreement also required him to certify, by a Termination Certification, that he honored and would continue to honor his responsibilities under the Confidentiality Agreement. VanderZanden has ignored Lyft's repeated requests that he sign the Termination Certification verifying that he no longer possesses, and will not use, Lyft confidential information. VanderZanden and Uber have steadfastly ignored repeated requests to return confidential and proprietary Lyft information VanderZanden possessed post-employment. Uber's counsel maintained that VanderZanden "has no Lyft proprietary information in his possession not now, not when he started at Uber, and not since he left Lyft."
 - 11. Rather than turn his phone over to Lyft to ensure the return of all confidential

information, VanderZanden purportedly sold his iPhone on www.gazelle.com soon after his			
resignation. Uber's counsel claimed that VanderZanden "has no reason to believe there was any			
Lyft proprietary data on the phone at any time," a claim which is contradicted by the allegations			
herein and the fact that, as a high-ranking Lyft employee, he certainly would have had Lyft			
proprietary information on his iPhone during the term of his employment. Neither			
VanderZanden nor Uber explained why VanderZanden chose to sell his phone in the first			
instance. An odd thing for a high-net worth individual to do, it was likely to cover his tracks and			
dispose of evidence of his misdeeds.			

- 12. VanderZanden breached the Confidentiality Agreement in numerous other ways as well, including by soliciting and attempting to solicit numerous Lyft employees to leave Lyft. VanderZanden successfully solicited at least one fellow Lyft executive, Stephen Schnell, Vice President of Operations at Lyft, by promising to enable a stock sale for Schnell and investing in Schnell's future business venture. Indeed, on October 31, 2014, Uber and Schnell confirmed that Schnell is now working with VanderZanden at Uber. Moreover, Ryan Fujiu, another Lyft employee that VanderZanden encouraged to resign around the same time VanderZanden resigned, has since left Lyft, and on information and belief, joined Uber as well.
- 13. VanderZanden's conduct not only breaches the Confidentiality Agreement, but also breaches fiduciary duties of loyalty and confidence he owed to Lyft as an officer and employee.

<u>PARTIES</u>

- 14. Lyft is, and at all times mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, that has its principal place of business in San Francisco, California.
- 15. On information and belief, Defendant Travis VanderZanden is, and at all times mentioned was, a resident of Contra Costa County, California. VanderZanden currently is the Vice President of International Growth at Uber, one of Lyft's primary competitors.
- 16. Lyft is not aware of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as

 Does 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by fictitious names. Lyft will

amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities when ascertained. Lyft is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each fictitiously named Defendant is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Lyft's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by these Defendants.

17. Lyft is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times material to this Complaint, each of the Defendants, in addition to acting for himself or herself individually, is and was acting as the agent, servant, employee and representative of, and with the knowledge, consent and permission of, and in conspiracy with, each and all of the Defendants and within the course, scope and authority of that agency, service, employment, representation, and conspiracy. Lyft further alleges on information and belief that the acts of each of the Defendants were fully ratified by each and all of the Defendants. Specifically, and without limitation, Lyft alleges on information and belief that the actions, failures to act, breaches, conspiracy, and misrepresentations alleged herein and attributed to one or more of the specific Defendants were approved, ratified, and done with the cooperation and knowledge of each and all of the Defendants.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 18. This action is within the jurisdiction of this Court under the California Constitution, Article VI, Section 10 because the amount in controversy exceeds \$25,000 and jurisdiction has not been given by statute to a different court.
- 19. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 395(a), as this is an action, in part, for breach of contract, and San Francisco County is the county "where the contract in fact was entered into," and where the contractual "obligation [was] to be performed."
- 20. The underlying claims are brought, in part, pursuant to the Employment Agreement and Confidentiality Agreement, both dated March 6, 2013, both of which VanderZanden executed. The Confidentiality Agreement provides that "in the event of a breach or threatened breach of this Agreement by [VanderZanden] the Company may suffer irreparable harm and will therefore be entitled to injunctive relief to enforcement this Agreement."

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Lyft Origins

- 21. Lyft is an online and mobile application-based peer-to-peer ridesharing company headquartered in San Francisco, California. The Company offers its services in more than 60 cities nationwide. Lyft, formerly known as Zimride, Inc. and Bounder Web, Inc., was launched by co-founders Logan Green and John Zimmer in 2007.
- 22. Lyft developed its mobile peer-to-peer ridesharing application (the "Lyft App") in Spring 2012.
- 23. Lyft currently has over 350 employees in several locations throughout the United States, and through the Lyft App, facilitates millions of rides per month.
- 24. As a technology-based company offering a fast-growing, innovative service in an increasingly competitive market, Lyft invested millions of dollars in developing and protecting proprietary and sensitive information related to its strategy, product and service offerings, and financial status and projections. This proprietary information includes documents detailing its business strategies, product plans, marketing plans, historical and current financial information, forecasts, customer data, and personnel information.
- 25. Lyft protects its investment in this proprietary information with numerous commercially reasonable policies and practices, including requiring: all employees to sign Lyft's employee handbook (which describes in detail each employee's obligations regarding conflicts of interest, technology use and security, and protection of Lyft's confidential and proprietary information); all employees to sign confidentiality agreements as a condition of employment; everyone who enters the Lyft office to acknowledge a non-disclosure agreement before proceeding past the reception desk; all employees to enable a two-step verification for Google apps; and employees when ending employment with Lyft to sign Termination Certifications that they will honor the terms of the Confidentiality Agreement, if requested by Lyft. Furthermore, Lyft limits access to Lyft's corporate Dropbox account to Lyft employees and consultants.

Lyft Continues Growing, and Hires VanderZanden

- 26. Lyft's rapid growth reflects its pioneering strategy and product offerings. From May 2007 to the present, the Company grew a non-existent customer base into one comprised of millions of customers and expanded from servicing one market in a single state to over 60 markets throughout the United States.
- 27. As part of that growth, in March 2013, the Company purchased Cherry, VanderZanden's previously shutdown mobile car-washing company, in an acqui-hire providing Lyft with Cherry's intellectual property and its two remaining employees, VanderZanden and Schnell. Lyft made VanderZanden its Chief Operating Officer.
- 28. VanderZanden signed both his Employment Agreement and his Confidentiality Agreement effective March 6, 2013.
- 29. VanderZanden would not have been, and could not have been, hired without signing the Confidentiality Agreement. Every employee of the Company executes a Confidentiality Agreement substantially similar to that required of VanderZanden. The Employment Agreement states that VanderZanden's "acceptance of this offer and commencement of employment with the Company is contingent upon the execution, and delivery to an officer of the Company, of the Company's [Confidentiality Agreement]." The Employment Agreement stated that "[t]his Agreement, the Confidentiality Agreement and the Non-Competition Agreement contain the entire understanding of the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof."

Confidentiality Agreement

- 30. Under the Confidentiality Agreement, VanderZanden confirmed that his "employment by the Company creates a relationship of confidence and trust with respect to any information of a confidential or secret nature that may be disclosed to [him] by the Company or a third party that relates to the business of the Company or to the business of any parent, subsidiary, affiliate, customer or supplier of the Company or any other party with whom the Company agrees to hold information of such part in confidence (the 'Proprietary Information')."
- 31. The Confidentiality Agreement provides that "Proprietary Information includes, but is not limited to, Assigned Inventions, marketing plans, product plans, business strategies,

financial information, forecasts, personnel information, customer lists and data, and domain names."

- 32. VanderZanden confirmed that "[a]t all times, both during [his] employment and after its termination, [he would] keep and hold all such Proprietary information in strict confidence and trust until such Proprietary Information becomes publicly and widely known and made generally available through no wrong act of [VanderZanden]."
- 33. VanderZanden also promised that he would "not disclose any Proprietary Information without the prior written consent of the Company until such Proprietary Information becomes publicly and widely known and made generally available through no wrongful act of [VanderZanden], except as may be necessary to perform [his] duties as an employee of the Company for the benefit of the Company."
- 34. Post termination of his Lyft employment, VanderZanden remains obligated to "promptly deliver to the Company all documents and materials of any nature pertaining to [his] work with the Company." VanderZanden, "upon [Lyft's] request," also must "execute a document confirming [his] agreement to honor [his] responsibilities contained in [the Confidentiality Agreement]."
- 35. The Confidentiality Agreement forbids VanderZanden from "tak[ing] with [him] or retain[ing] any documents or materials or copies thereof containing any Proprietary Information."
- 36. Beyond the protection of proprietary information, the Confidentiality Agreement also specifies that VanderZanden could "not, without the company's express written consent, engage in any other employment or business that (i) directly competes with the current or future business of the Company; (ii) uses any Company information, equipment, supplies, facilities or materials; or (iii) otherwise conflicts with the Company's business interest and causes a disruption of its operations."
- 37. The Confidentiality Agreement also prohibits VanderZanden "[d]uring [his] employment with the Company and for a period of one (1) year thereafter" from "directly or indirectly solicit[ing] away employees or consultants of the Company for [his] own benefit or for

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

the benefit of any other person or entity."

38. VanderZanden also is prohibited "[d]uring [his] employment with the Company and for a period of one (1) year thereafter" from "directly or indirectly solicit[ing] or otherwise tak[ing] away customers or suppliers of the Company if, in so doing, [he] use[d] or disclose[d] any trade secrets or proprietary or confidential information of the Company." In that context, "non-public names and addresses of the Company's customers and suppliers, and all other confidential information related to them, including their buying and selling habits and special needs, created or obtained by [him] during [his] employment constitute[d] trade secrets or proprietary or confidential information of the Company."

VanderZanden Planned and Executed His Misappropriation of Lyft's Confidential and Proprietary Information

- 39. On August 12, 2014, VanderZanden met with Logan Green and later John Zimmer (Lyft's co-founders) in person, and informed them that he was planning to resign. Over the next two days VanderZanden, Green, and Zimmer discussed VanderZanden's future with Lyft. The three agreed to meet on August 15, 2014 to try and reach an agreement.
- 40. At 7:54 a.m. on August 15, 2014, VanderZanden emailed the co-founders, explaining that there was no reason to meet, and that they should "think this through . . . before we finalize." VanderZanden concluded by saying "I love you guys like brothers. . . I still bleed pink . . . [l]et's sleep on it over the weekend."
- Later on August 15, 2014, VanderZanden apprised a Lyft board member that he was talking to Uber and explaining that he did not prompt Schnell to resign with him.
- 42. On August 18, 2014, per Lyft's Confidentiality Agreement, Lyft called and emailed VanderZanden a total of six times asking him to return his Lyft laptop computer. VanderZanden ignored every attempt to be contacted.
- 43. The next day, August 19, 2014, after every call and email went unanswered, Lyft sent a Lyft employee to VanderZanden's house to retrieve the laptop computer. Eventually, VanderZanden arrived back home and gave his laptop to the employee.
 - 44. On August 23, 2014, VanderZanden emailed Lyft's CEO, President, and General

Counsel slamming Lyft for "stalking" its laptop computer and accusing Lyft of trying to pick a fight with him.

- 45. Anticipating his departure and planning for his new job at Uber, VanderZanden began taking affirmative steps to misappropriate Lyft's confidential and proprietary information and solicit Lyft's employees to leave the Company with him in the days and weeks before he left the company. According to forensic evidence obtained from his computer following his resignation, VanderZanden performed multiple searches on various search engines from his Lyft-issued computer to research how to execute his unlawful actions. Many of VanderZanden's searches concerned how to back up Google related services and products, such as Lyft's Google-based email content provided through Gmail for business ("Lyft Email").
- 46. On August 12, 2014, VanderZanden searched "how to archive in google apps," "how to backup google apps email" and "http://www.googleapps--backup.com." And on August 13, 2014, he searched "http://gmailkeeper.com/" and "http://www.gmail-backup.com/."
- 47. VanderZanden also used a cloud-based note taking application called "Evernote." VanderZanden's notes saved in this program evidence his breaches of contract and fiduciary duties.
- 48. On August 12, 2014, VanderZanden wrote a note to himself that mapped out his resignation speech: "It's ben [sic] a great ride, but the time has come for me to resign."
- 49. On August 14, 2014, VanderZanden wrote another note on Evernote and listed tasks he needed to complete. Among the tasks was "Backup Lyft Email and Contacts."

 VanderZanden followed through on this, as he downloaded his work emails and contacts to his personal computer the next day—after asking to delay the meeting with Messrs. Green and Zimmer so he could execute this plan—as alleged below.

VanderZanden Resigns and Refuses to Sign the Termination Certification

- 50. As alleged above, VanderZanden informed the Company that he was resigning on August 12, 2014.
- 51. Immediately following his resignation, Lyft asked VanderZanden to sign the Termination Certification he contractually is obligated to provide, verifying that he has honored

and will continue to honor his Confidentiality Agreement responsibilities. VanderZanden refused. VanderZanden repeatedly has refused Lyft's subsequent and repeated requests to sign the Termination Certification. VanderZanden also ignored Lyft's repeated and concerted attempts to retrieve his Lyft-issued laptop computer, while at the same time quickly selling his iPhone. VanderZanden's mere refusals are contract breaches, and his refusals and actions also reflect his consciousness of guilt. Computer forensic evidence establishes that he possessed Lyft confidential information post-employment. If he no longer possesses that information, and/or no longer intends to use the information to benefit Uber and harm Lyft, why expose himself to personal liability for contract breaches?

VanderZanden's Misappropriation

of Lyft's Confidential and Proprietary Information

- 52. Dropbox is a cloud-based storage program allowing users to store and access documents from anywhere with an Internet connection. Lyft uses Dropbox as a means to store and retrieve company documents. Lyft provided VanderZanden with a Dropbox account for work purposes—"Dropbox (Lyft)." VanderZanden also linked his personal Dropbox account—"Dropbox (Personal)" —to his Lyft computer. Dropbox (Lyft) was VanderZanden's Company account over which Lyft had ownership and control of its contents. Dropbox (Personal) is VanderZanden's personal Dropbox account over which he—and not Lyft—has ownership and control of its contents. Only VanderZanden can access the Dropbox (Personal) account, and he can do so from any computer, anywhere in the world.
- 53. VanderZanden synchronized both of these Dropbox accounts to his Lyft computer on June 23, 2014.
- 54. Before resigning, VanderZanden transferred thousands of documents containing confidential and proprietary information into his Dropbox (Personal) folder. Forensic evidence establishes that VanderZanden's personal Dropbox account contained four folders with the word "Lyft" in the title—"Lyft," "Lyft Decks," "Lyft Accounting Data," and "Lyft PnL." Lyft's documents could be in numerous other folders with different names. Lyft is not able to search the nearly 100,000 documents in the Dropbox account to verify. With a Lyft Dropbox account

available and able to be accessed from *any* computer with an Internet connection, VanderZanden had no legitimate reason to ever transfer confidential and proprietary work documents into his personal Dropbox account.

- VanderZanden's Company Dropbox account to prevent him from subsequently accessing the account, and to ensure that the proprietary information contained therein remained confidential and within Lyft's ownership and control.
- 56. After VanderZanden's departure on August 15, 2014, he continued and continues to this day as an Uber employee, to have exclusive possession and control over his personal Dropbox account containing all of the aforementioned Lyft proprietary and confidential information.
- 57. As alleged above, "Proprietary Information" as defined in the Confidentiality Agreement included "marketing plans, product plans, business strategies, financial information, forecasts, personnel information, customer lists and data, and domain names."
- 58. The Lyft documents VanderZanden transferred to his personal Dropbox account represented many of the aforementioned categories of confidential and proprietary information subject to the Confidentiality Agreement.
- 59. VanderZanden possesses numerous documents regarding Lyft's confidential and proprietary marketing and product plans, which are critical to Lyft's business, including "Lyft Product Roadmap 2014," a confidential document outlining Lyft's 2014 per-quarter product roadmap, naming specific new and important products and ventures Lyft intends to roll-out over 2014. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and investors subject to non-disclosure agreements.
- 60. Another example of Lyft's confidential and proprietary marketing and product plans in VanderZanden's possession is "Lyft BOD (12.17.2013)," a confidential presentation for Lyft's Board of Directors, summarizing Lyft's growth through 2013, key metrics including Lyft's per-city revenue, ride, and performance analysis, product updates and plans, and detailed financial information. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and

27 1 C

Lyft's Board of Directors.

- 61. Another example of Lyft's confidential and proprietary marketing and product plans in VanderZanden's possession is "Coatue¹ Lyft Model," a confidential spreadsheet extensively outlining and analyzing historical and projecting future marketing data with tabs on "Company Projection," City Ride Analysis," City Contribution Analysis," and "Penetration." Among other metrics, Lyft's per-city revenue, ride, and performance analysis, as well as Lyft's own growth projections are highly sensitive and confidential. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and investors subject to non-disclosure agreements.
- 62. VanderZanden also transferred to his Dropbox (Personal) account numerous Excel spreadsheets containing detailed financial information for Lyft. These documents included historical and current financial data, as well as future financial forecasts and projections, all of which is confidential, proprietary, and critical to Lyft's business.
- 63. An example of the proprietary and confidential financial information in VanderZanden's possession is "Lyft _PnLv9.7 4.9.2014," a highly confidential spreadsheet outlining Lyft's finances through 2016, including detailed tabs entitled "charts_n_graphs," "income_and_cash," "large_city_pnl," and "long_tail_pnl." For example, "income_and_cash" forecasts Lyft's financials through December 2016, including gross revenue, gross margin, marketing, fixed costs, and EBITDA numbers. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and key members of Lyft's legal team, human resources team, and finance team.
- 64. Another example of Lyft's confidential and proprietary financial information in VanderZanden's possession is "Lyft (2014-01-23) Capitalization Table Equity Schedule," a highly confidential spreadsheet detailing the ownership of the Company across each class of securities, while also providing contact information of each of the Company's individual shareholders. This extremely sensitive document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and investors subject to non-disclosure agreements.

¹ Coatue is Lyft's lead Series D investor. This particular report was generated specifically by Coatue in its role as a major investor of the company.

- 65. Another example of Lyft's confidential and proprietary financial information in VanderZanden's possession is "Lyft Proforma v2," a confidential spreadsheet containing financial models outlining the impact of a potential new product. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft.
- 66. Another example of Lyft's confidential and proprietary financial information in VanderZanden's possession is "Lyft Financials (12.17.13)," a confidential spreadsheet comprised of numerous tabs with highly sensitive financial metrics like "Lyft P&L," "YTD by Department," "Revenue Trend," and "Expense Variance." For example, this document includes charts comparing Lyft's forecasts versus actual performance, and revenue and cost trends by city. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and Lyft's Board of Directors.
- 67. Another example of Lyft's confidential and proprietary financial information in VanderZanden's possession is "Coupon_Spend_2014_08_01," a highly confidential spreadsheet containing sensitive financial information regarding where Lyft invests its capital within its business and the results of those investments, organized by market. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and key members of Lyft's legal team, human resources team, and finance team.
- 68. Another example of Lyft's confidential and proprietary financial information in VanderZanden's possession is "Coupon_Spend_2014_07_02," a highly confidential spreadsheet containing sensitive financial information regarding where Lyft invests its capital within its business and the results of those investments, organized by market. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and key members of Lyft's legal team, human resources team, and finance team.
- 69. Another example of Lyft's confidential and proprietary financial information in VanderZanden's possession is "Coupon_Spend_2014_05_01_2," a highly confidential spreadsheet containing sensitive financial information regarding where Lyft invests its capital within its business and the results of those investments, organized by market. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and key members of Lyft's legal team, human

resources team, and finance team.

- 70. Another example of Lyft's confidential and proprietary financial information in VanderZanden's possession is "Lyft Dashboard (6.10.2013)," a confidential spreadsheet containing sensitive financial metrics on many cities where Lyft operates. One tab, "LAX_weekly," details total rides, gross revenues, gross per ride, active passengers, and active drivers weekly from January 2013 through June 2013 for the Los Angeles market. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and key members of Lyft's product team, data analytics team, operations team, legal team, human resources team, and finance team.
- 71. VanderZanden possesses numerous documents pertaining to Lyft's business strategies, which are proprietary, confidential, and critical to Lyft's business.
- 72. An example of the proprietary and confidential business strategy documents in VanderZanden's possession is "Int'l City Rollout Data_v1.1," a spreadsheet containing highly sensitive and confidential information regarding Lyft's international expansion plans, including analysis of potential international markets and the criteria used to prioritize those new markets. This document was shared only with high-level executives within Lyft and key members of Lyft's product team, data analytics team, operations team, growth team, and finance team.
- 73. Another example of the proprietary and confidential business strategy documents in VanderZanden's possession is "Jan14_Acquisition_Report," a confidential spreadsheet including numerous tabs containing confidential and sensitive information regarding Lyft's marketing plans and performance. Organized by various markets and channels, the data includes highly confidential information regarding Lyft's marketing and advertising budgets, the types of marketing strategies it employs, how it acquires drivers and passengers, and the entities through which it markets and advertises its services. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and key members of Lyft's product team, data analytics team, operations team, and finance team.
- 74. VanderZanden possesses numerous documents that contain proprietary and confidential Lyft personnel information that is sensitive in nature.
 - 75. An example of the proprietary and confidential personnel information in

VanderZanden's possession is "Lyft - Series D Pro Forma," a confidential spreadsheet analyzing the impact of additional fundraising on equity holdings. In addition to disclosing highly sensitive and confidential financial investment information, this document also provides the home addresses, phone numbers, and email addresses of Lyft's investors. This extremely sensitive document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and investors subject to non-disclosure agreements.

- 76. Another example of the proprietary and confidential personnel information in VanderZanden's possession is "Lyft Pending Grants Table Capitalization Table & Equity Schedule (2013.09.16)," a confidential spreadsheet detailing the ownership of the Company across each class of securities. In addition to disclosing highly sensitive and confidential financial investment information, this document also provides the home addresses, phone numbers, and email addresses of Lyft's investors. This extremely sensitive document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft, Lyft's Board of Directors, and investors subject to non-disclosure agreements.
- 77. Lyft has expended great effort and money to gather customer lists and data through user (both riders and drivers) names, email addresses, home addresses, and phone numbers. That confidential and proprietary information, which VanderZanden now possesses, is used for marketing plans, product plans, business strategies, user acquisition, and various pilot programs for new service offerings. Therefore, the confidentiality of those user lists is paramount to Lyft's current and future viability.
- 78. An example of proprietary and confidential customer lists and data in VanderZanden's possession is "driverValues_031313_1914," a document containing sensitive and proprietary list of the names of current Lyft drivers, as well as key metrics about each driver such as each driver's ride acceptance rate, average ratings, and reliability. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and key members of Lyft's product team, data analytics team, operations team, and growth team.
- 79. Another example of proprietary and confidential customer lists and data in VanderZanden's possession is "Coatue data monthly," a confidential spreadsheet that provides

aggregate data on numerous customer metrics like weekly active passengers, daily average active passengers, apps open, active user app opens daily, and active user app opens weekly covering every city in which Lyft is active and spanning from May 2012 through January 2014. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and investors subject to non-disclosure agreements.

- 80. Another example of proprietary and confidential customer lists and data in VanderZanden's possession is "Lyft feb data," a confidential spreadsheet that provides aggregate data on numerous customer metrics like weekly and monthly active passengers, active drivers, completed rides, and gross revenue in every city in which Lyft is active and spanning from May 2012 through February 2014. This document was shared with high-level executives within Lyft and investors subject to non-disclosure agreements.
- 81. Beyond the confidential and proprietary information that VanderZanden uploaded to his personal Dropbox account, he also transferred confidential and proprietary information contained in his Lyft Email account, on information and belief, to his personal computer and iPhone.
- 82. Forensic evidence establishes that on August 15, 2014 a computer with a Comcast IP address in close proximity to VanderZanden's home address using the IMAP protocol downloaded emails and attachments from his Lyft Email account—on information and belief, this was VanderZanden's personal computer. The IMAP protocol ensures that a copy of the entire mailbox is synchronized and copied for local storage on the receiving device so that the last synchronized copy of the email can be accessed on the device, even if the email account is no longer accessible to the user—as it was in this case—or unavailable due to lack of network connectivity. The downloaded email would also include the attachments of each email and those attachments could be opened even if access to the Lyft Email system was no longer available. Attachments to VanderZanden's Lyft emails would have included *thousands* of Lyft confidential and proprietary documents.
- 83. Forensic evidence also establishes that on or about August 15, 2014, VanderZanden downloaded a full copy of the contents of his Lyft Email account

(travis@lyft.com) to his iPhone, using the IMAP protocol. Again, this copy of VanderZanden's Lyft Email would have included thousands of attachments consisting of Lyft confidential and proprietary information. This is the same iPhone that VanderZanden purportedly later sold on www.gazelle.com.

- 84. As of the date of this Complaint, despite multiple requests from the Company, VanderZanden has not returned to Lyft any of the aforementioned confidential and proprietary information.
- 85. On the contrary, VanderZanden attempted to cover his tracks and dispose of evidence of his misappropriation. For example, VanderZanden refused to give back his Lyft-issued computer (and in fact chastened the company for sending an employee to retrieve it from him after VanderZanden ignored the company's numerous requests for its return) and he quickly sold his iPhone.
- 86. On information and belief, VanderZanden has used and disclosed the aforementioned confidential and proprietary information in the course of his current employment with Uber and otherwise.

VanderZanden Solicited Lyft Employees

- 87. VanderZanden solicited Lyft employees away from Lyft, in direct violation of his Confidentiality Agreement, and thereby harmed Lyft and benefitted himself and others.
- 88. As alleged above, the Confidentiality Agreement prohibited VanderZanden "[d]uring [his] employment with the Company and for a period of one (1) year thereafter" from "directly or indirectly solicit[ing] away employees or consultants of the Company for [his] own benefit or for the benefit of any other person or entity."
- 89. Between August 14 and 16, 2014, VanderZanden sent numerous messages to Stephen Schnell, the former Vice President of Operations at Lyft, to try to convince Schnell to resign from Lyft. On October 31, 2014, Lyft learned and Uber confirmed that Schnell is now working with VanderZanden at Uber.
- 90. On August 14, 2014, VanderZanden told Schnell: "You should resign tmrw if you're ready to go all in." Schnell responded, seeking advice from VanderZanden on the

specifics: "[A]ny risk if I resign tomorrow and it goes the wrong way I'll be unable to take something with me." VanderZanden responded: "Doesn't it feel good ©." Schnell responded: "It does actually" and "I'm paranoid so I'm thinking about next steps as well."

- 91. Two minutes later, Schnell represented to another Lyft employee that he sent Lyft a resignation email. Less than two minutes later, VanderZanden assured Schnell that he would help sell Schnell's Lyft stock and fund Schnell's next venture: "Yes I'll tee up buyer and happy seed invest in whatever you do." In terms of the sale of Lyft shares, VanderZanden informed Schnell that he would "do \$900K for you which may leave you w a few shares but I don't have exact count."
- 92. VanderZanden and Schnell also discussed encouraging other Lyft employees to resign and join Schnell's startup company. VanderZanden asked Schnell: "Did you talk to [Chris] Stavlas?" Chris Stavlas is a former Director of Data Analytics at Lyft, whose last day at Lyft was Friday, October 24, 2014. VanderZanden responded that "I think Stavlas should b next be he's already prob out." Schnell replied "agreed," and VanderZanden advised Schnell that Schnell "should grab both [Stavlas and Ryan Fujiu (Product Manager at Lyft)] for your startup." Schnell asked: "should I encourage him to resign today as well? if he wants to." To which VanderZanden responded: "Let him decide but I'm sure he will." A little later, VanderZanden messaged Schnell stating that "Stavlas and Ryan are key." Schnell responded, "I'll call ryan now." On information and belief, Ryan Fujiu is also now employed by Uber.
- 93. This was but one example of VanderZanden's solicitation of Lyft employees. On information and belief, VanderZanden directly or indirectly solicited at least five employees away from Lyft to directly harm the Company and benefit others, including himself. Indeed, Stephen Schnell and Ryan Fujiu left Lyft and currently work at Uber with VanderZanden, and Art Henry and Chris Stavlas both departed from Lyft after VanderZanden's resignation.

Lyft Faces Imminent Irreparable Harm

as a Result of VanderZanden's Unlawful Conduct

94. Immediately after VanderZanden resigned, Lyft reminded VanderZanden of his obligations pursuant to the Confidentiality Agreement via a letter from Lyft's counsel. Lyft

requested that VanderZanden, among other things, immediately return all originals and copies of Lyft information in his possession and control and sign a Termination Certificate confirming his agreement to honor his obligations under the Confidentiality Agreement. VanderZanden has neither returned the signed Termination Certificate nor returned Lyft's proprietary and confidential information in his possession and control, and for those reasons alone, is in breach of the Confidentiality Agreement.

- 95. Lyft informed VanderZanden's current employer, Uber, of VanderZanden's breach of the Confidentiality Agreement, and requested that Uber initiate its own investigation into VanderZanden's conduct. In an email sent by Uber's counsel on October 13, 2014, Uber informed Lyft that VanderZanden "has no Lyft proprietary information in his possession not now, not when he started at Uber, and not since he left Lyft." Based on the forensic findings, Uber's response is patently false.
- 96. VanderZanden's unlawful conduct threatens to permanently deprive Lyft, and to give Uber, among others, the benefit of valuable competitive information about Lyft's business strategies, products and services, and historical and projected financial information, in addition to confidential information regarding Lyft's customer base, business model, and historical sales data. VanderZanden's unlawful conduct will cause irreparable harm to Lyft for which it has no adequate remedy at law.
- 97. Due to VanderZanden's unlawful conduct and willful continuing violation of the Confidentiality Agreement, Lyft is forced to bring this action to protect its valuable confidential and proprietary information.
- 98. Lyft's interest in protecting its confidential and proprietary information from misuse and disclosure outweighs the potential harm to VanderZanden of the injunctive relief sought.
- 99. VanderZanden has acknowledged, in his Confidentiality Agreement, that "in the event of a breach or threatened breach of this Agreement by [VanderZanden] the Company may suffer irreparable harm and will therefore be entitled to injunctive relief to enforcement this Agreement."

(Breach of Written Contract -- Confidentiality Agreement)

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

100. Lyft incorporates by reference the factual allegations set forth above.

101. VanderZanden entered into the Confidentiality Agreement with Lyft in

consideration for, and as a condition of, his employment by Lyft. The effective date of the

7

Confidentiality Agreement is March 6, 2013.

8

102. As described above, VanderZanden materially breached the Confidentiality

9

Agreement by, among other things:

10

Refusing to sign a Termination Certification attesting to his honoring and a.

11

adherence to the Confidentiality Agreement;

12

b. Failing to promptly deliver to the Company all documents and materials of

Directly and indirectly soliciting away Lyft employees for the benefit of

13

any nature pertaining to his work with the Company, including the aforementioned confidential

14

and proprietary information;

15

Using and disclosing Lyft's confidential and proprietary information to

16

directly harm the Company; and

17

persons and entities other than Lyft.

actions and conduct of VanderZanden.

d.

18 19

103. Lyft has performed all conditions, covenants and promises required to be

20

performed on its part under the Confidentiality Agreement, except for those prevented by the

21

22

104. As a direct and proximate result of VanderZanden's breach of the Confidentiality

105. As acknowledged by VanderZanden in the Confidentiality Agreement, unless an

23

Agreement, Lyft has suffered damages and will continue to suffer damages including, but not

24

limited to, actual and compensatory damages under California Civil Code § 3300.

25 26

injunction is issued enjoining VanderZanden from retaining, making any use of, or otherwise

27

disclosing or distributing Lyft's confidential and proprietary information, including but not

28

limited to Lyft's historical financial information, financial projections and forecasts, business

strategies, marketing plans, product plans, personnel information, customer data, and any other Company data which he transferred to his Dropbox (Personal) account, computer, and/or telephone, Lyft will suffer irreparable harm for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

- 106. Under the terms of the Confidentiality Agreement, Lyft is contractually entitled to injunctive relief to enforce the Confidentiality Agreement.
 - 107. In addition to equitable relief, Lyft demands monetary damages, fees, and costs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

- 108. Lyft incorporates by reference the factual allegations set forth above.
- 109. As an officer of the Company, VanderZanden owed Lyft fiduciary duties, including but not limited to the duties of care, loyalty and confidence. As a fiduciary of Lyft, VanderZanden had the obligation to put Lyft's interests ahead of his own personal interests.
- 110. As a direct result of his position as an officer and fiduciary of Lyft, VanderZanden had access to Lyft's confidential and proprietary information.
- 111. VanderZanden knew that Lyft disclosed its confidential and proprietary information in confidence and trust, in accordance with the terms set forth in the Confidentiality Agreement he signed at the beginning of his employment with Lyft.
- 112. VanderZanden understood that Lyft reposed trust and confidence in him and rightfully expected that VanderZanden would abide by the Confidentiality Agreement, maintain the confidentiality of the proprietary information, and act in the best interests of Lyft.
- 113. VanderZanden breached his fiduciary duty to Lyft by planning to and actually misappropriating and using Lyft's confidential and proprietary information for the benefit of Lyft's competitors and others, including himself.
- 114. VanderZanden also breached his fiduciary duty to Lyft by soliciting away the Company's valuable employees to the detriment of Lyft for the benefit of Lyft's competitors and others, including himself.
- 115. As acknowledged by VanderZanden in the Confidentiality Agreement, Lyft's remedy at law is not sufficient to compensate Lyft for the irreparable harm caused and threatened

by VanderZanden. Unless an injunction is issued enjoining VanderZanden from retaining, making any use of, or otherwise disclosing or distributing Lyft's confidential and proprietary information, including but not limited to Lyft's historical financial information, financial projections and forecasts, business strategies, marketing plans, product plans, personnel information, customer data, and any other Company data which he transferred to his personal Dropbox account, computer, and/or telephone, Lyft will suffer irreparable harm for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

116. In addition to equitable relief, Lyft demands disgorgement, monetary damages, fees, and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

NOW, therefore, Lyft respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment on its claims for relief as follows:

- 1. For a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining VanderZanden from:
- a. Retaining Lyft's confidential and proprietary information, including but not limited to Lyft's historical financial information, financial projections and forecasts, business strategies, marketing plans, product plans, personnel information, customer data, and any other Company data which he transferred to his Dropbox (Personal) account, computer, and/or phone;
- b. Making any use of Lyft's confidential and proprietary information, including but not limited to Lyft's historical financial information, financial projections and forecasts, business strategies, marketing plans, personnel information, customer data, and any other Company data which he transferred to his Dropbox (Personal) account, computer, and/or phone;
- c. Disclosing to any third party, including but not limited to Uber and any of its employees, Lyft's confidential and proprietary information, including but not limited to Lyft's historical financial information, financial projections and forecasts, business strategies, marketing plans, product plans, personnel information, customer data, and any other Company data which he transferred to his Dropbox (Personal) account, computer, and/or telephone; and
 - d. Otherwise distributing Lyft's confidential and proprietary information,

1	Dated: November 5, 2014	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
2		James K. Lynch Jennifer L. Barry John M/Pierce
3		John M./ Pierce
\$ 4		By: Lynch
5		James K. Lynch Attorneys for Plaintiff Lyft, Inc.
6		Lyit, file.
7		
8		V
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		